

CURE IS BETTER THAN PREVENTION.

Why was this not considered earlier? Cure is preferable to prevention. Because we are profoundly rooted in the acceptance domain. People's reactions to a place's character are used to validate it, but what if they applauded just to show that the project was finished and not because they enjoyed it?

Urban areas should be planned with the city's inhabitants in mind, while also taking into account their distinct cultures and characteristics. However, in modern times, such areas are more often planned using dystopian interventions.

Time Square appears to be a good illustration for the aforementioned assertion because it adopted the sensible concept and flourished, transforming it into a very well-liked public and commercial area. But was it actually a success? Following the necessary trend Time square soon became the heart of New York City, but it Succumbed under its own pressure of validation and failed to make the area expandable, turning it into a congested intersection with limited room for growth.

The demands of each stakeholder and community should be considered while designing a public space in order to better the quality of an individual's experience there. This means that rather than just creating a "good appearing space," designers should aim to create a "good feeling space." This makes me think of a saying.

**Light travels faster than sound. This is why some people appear bright until they speak ~Steven Wright**